

“The Supreme Court’s Holy Battles” – a PBS 1989 Production

Excerpted from, *The Rewriting of America’s History*, Updated

© 1991, 2011, 2022

by Dr. Catherine Millard

The Virginia Declaration of Rights

The last of the 16 articles in the Virginia Bill of Rights, authored by George Mason, and forerunner to the United States Bill of Rights, reads:

That Religion, or the Duty which we owe to our Creator, and the Manner of discharging it, can be directed only by Reason and Conviction, not by Force or Violence; and therefore, all Men are equally entitled to the free exercise of Religion, according to the Dictates of Conscience; and that it is the mutual Duty of all to practice Christian Forbearance, Love, and Charity, towards each other.

Article XVI

The Virginia Declaration of Rights

Once again, as with James Madison, we see the recurring theme among the founding fathers of *freedom of religion under the banner of Christianity*.

However, in the Companion Guide Book to the 1989 PBS production entitled *The Supreme Court’s Holy Battles*, with correspondent Roger Mudd (available on videocassette for educational agencies, libraries, organizations and corporations), I was appalled to find that, in quoting the 16th and final article of the Virginia Bill of Rights the authors had omitted the last sentence: “and that it is the mutual Duty of all to practice Christian Forbearance, Love and Charity, towards each other.”

This is, of course, the key sentence on Christianity, thus giving the meaning that religion at the birth of the nation was left entirely to the dictates of conscience, without the need to practice Christian forbearance, love and charity towards each other.

The authors of *The Supreme Court’s Holy Battles* fail to denote that information has been deleted from this foundational legal document of America’s history.

The Introduction to the Companion Guide Book of *The Supreme Court’s Holy Battles* states that this documentary:

...was developed from current scholarship and archival research and from filmed interviews with these leading historians and Constitutional Experts:

Richard R. Beeman, Professor of History, University of Pennsylvania.

Thomas E. Buckley, S.J., Professor of History, Loyola Marymount University.

A.E. Dick Howard, White Burkett Miller Professor of Law And Public Affairs, University of Virginia.

Rys Isaac, Professor of History, LaTrobe University, Australia.

Rex E. Lee, President, Brigham Young University, and former Solicitor General of the United States.

Leonard W. Levy, Andrew W. Mellon All Claremont Professor Of Humanities, Claremont Graduate School.

Merrill D. Peterson, Thomas Jefferson Foundation Professor of History, Emeritus, University of Virginia.

and that:

This publication amplifies the historical information presented in *The Supreme Court’s Holy Battles*; it also includes background essays on the meaning of the religion clauses.

It is an impropriety on the part of professors of American history, law and public affairs, to rewrite America’s history, leaving out the true importance and meaning of George Mason’s own document, which concludes on a Christian note.

The above seriously puts in question the credibility of these modern-day historians who would rewrite the Virginia Declaration of Rights to fit a mold of their own invention and preference, in order to eliminate all mention of Christianity from this great document of the nation’s history. This very Christianity was not only the bedrock of George Mason’s faith, but also that of the founding fathers. Whether these professors of U.S. Constitutional history and law agree with it or not, it is not their prerogative to eliminate or change one word from this legal document penned by George Mason in 1776. The Virginia Declaration of Rights forms an integral part of America’s Christian heritage.



U.S. Bill of Rights, 1789. Photograph: © Christian Heritage Ministries.

“This is a Christian Nation”

David J. Brewer, Justice, U.S. Supreme Court.

vs.

“This is not a Christian Nation”

Barak Hussein Obama to the International Media

April 6, 2009.

HOLY TRINITY CHURCH vs. UNITED STATES

Opinion of the Court

No. 143. Argued and submitted January 7, 1892 –

Decided February 29, 1892 –

Unanimous Opinion

“...But beyond all these matters no purpose of action against religion can be imputed to any legislation, state or national, because this is a religious people. This is historically true. From the discovery of this continent to the present hour, there is a single voice making this affirmation, The commission to Christopher Columbus, prior to his sail westward is from ‘Ferdinand and Isabella, by the grace of God, King and Queen of Castile,’ etc... and recites that ‘it is hoped that by God’s assistance some of the continents and islands in the ocean will be discovered,’ etc... The first colonial grant, that was made to Sir Walter Raleigh in 1584, was from ‘Elizabeth, by the grace of God, of England, France and Ireland, queene, defender of the faith,’ etc.; and the grant authorizing him to enact statutes for the government of the proposed colony provided that ‘they be not against the true Christian faith now professed in the Church of England.’ The first charter of Virginia, granted by King James I in 1606, after reciting the application of certain parties for a charter, commenced the grant in these words: ‘We, greatly commending, and graciously accepting of, their Desires for the Furtherance of so noble a Work, which may, by the Providence of Almighty God, hereafter tend to the Glory of his Divine Majesty, in propagating of Christian Religion to such People, as yet live in darkness and miserable Ignorance of the true Knowledge and Worship of God,,, DO, by these our Letters-Patents, graciously accept of, and agree to, their humble and well-intended Desires.’

Language of similar import may be found in the subsequent charters of that colony, from the same king, in 1609 and 1611; and the same is true of the various charters granted to the other colonies. In language more or less emphatic is the establishment of the Christian religion declared to be one of the purposes of the grant. The celebrated compact made by the Pilgrims in the Mayflower, 1620, recites: ‘Having undertaken for the Glory of God, and Advancement of the Christian Faith, and the Honour of our King and Country, a Voyage to plant the first Colony in the northern Parts of Virginia; Do by these Presents, solemnly and mutually, in the Presence of God and one another, covenant and combine ourselves together into a civil Body Politick, for our better ordering and Preservation, and Furtherance of the Ends aforesaid.’

The Fundamental Orders of Connecticut, under which a provisional government was instituted in 1638-1639, commence with



The Supreme Court of the United States, front façade. Washington, D.C. Photograph: John W. Wrigley © 1990.

this declaration: ‘Forasmuch as it hath pleased the Almighty God by the wise disposition of his divine Providence so to Order and dispose of things that we the Inhabitants and Residents of Windsor, Hartford and Wethersfield are now cohabiting and dwelling in and upon the River of Conectecotto and the Lands thereunto adjoining. And well knowing where a people are gathered together, the word of God requires that to maintain the peace and union of such a people there should be an orderly and decent Government established according to God, to order and dispose of the affairs of the people at all seasons as occasion shall require; do therefore associate and conjoin ourselves to be as one Public State or Commonwealth; and do, for ourselves and our Successors and such as shall be adjoined to us at any time thereafter, enter into Combination and Confederation together, to maintain and preserve the liberty and purity of the gospel of our Lord Jesus which we now profess, as also the discipline of the Churches, which according to the truth of the said gospel is now practiced amongst us.’

In the charter of privileges granted by William Penn to the province of Pennsylvania, in 1701, it is recited: ‘because no People can be truly happy, though under the greatest Enjoyment of Civil Liberties, if abridged of the Freedom of their Consciences, as to their Religious Profession and Worship; And Almighty God being the only Lord of Conscience, Father of Lights and Spirits; and the Author as well as Object of all divine Knowledge, Faith and Worship, who only doth enlighten the Minds, and persuade and convince the Understandings of People, I do hereby grant and declare,’ etc.

Coming nearer to the present time, the Declaration of Independence recognized the presence of the Divine in human affairs in these words: ‘We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the pursuit of Happiness.’ ‘We, therefore, the Representatives of the United States of America, in General Congress, Assembled, appealing to the Supreme Judge of the world for the rectitude of our intentions, do, in the Name and by the Authority of the good People of these Colonies, solemnly publish and declare,’ etc.’ ‘And for the support of this Declaration, with a firm reliance on the Protection of Divine

Providence, we mutually pledge to each other our Lives, our Fortunes, and our sacred Honor.’


If we examine the constitutions of the various States we find in them a constant recognition of religious obligations. Every constitution of every one of the forty-four States contains language which either directly or by clear implication recognizes a profound reverence for religion and an assumption that its influence in all human affairs is essential to the wellbeing of the community. This recognition may be in the preamble, such as is found in the constitution of Illinois, 1870: ‘We, the people of the State of Illinois, grateful to Almighty God for the civil, political and religious liberty which He hath so long permitted us to enjoy, and looking to Him for a blessing upon our endeavors to secure and transmit the same unimpaired to succeeding generations,’ etc.

It may be only in the familiar requisition that all officers shall take an oath closing with the declaration ‘so help me God.’ It may be in clauses like that of the constitution of Indiana, 1816, Article XI, section 4: ‘The manner of administering an oath or affirmation shall be such as is most consistent with the conscience of the deponent, and shall be esteemed the most solemn appeal to God.’ Or in provisions such as are found in Articles 36 and 37 of the Declaration of Rights of the Constitution of Maryland, 1867: ‘That as it is the duty of every man to worship God in such a manner as he thinks most acceptable to Him, all persons are equally entitled to protection in their religious liberty; wherefore, no person ought, by any law, to be molested in his person or estate on account of his religious persuasion or profession, or for his religious practice, unless, under the color of religion, he shall disturb the good order, peace or safety of the State, or shall infringe the laws of morality, or injure others in their natural, civil or religious rights; nor ought any person, otherwise competent, be deemed incompetent as a witness, or juror, on account of his religious belief: Provided, he believes in the existence of God, and that under His dispensation, such person will be held morally accountable for his acts, and be rewarded or punished therefor, either in this world or the world to come.

That no religious test ought ever to be required as a qualification for any office of profit or trust in this State other than a declaration of belief in the existence of God; nor shall the legislature prescribe any other oath of office than the oath prescribed in this constitution.’ Or like that in Articles 2 and 3, of the Part 1st, of the Constitution of Massachusetts, 1780: ‘It is the right as well as the duty of all men in society publicly and at stated seasons, to worship the Supreme Being, the great Creator and Preserver of the universe...As the happiness of a people and the good order and preservation of civil government essentially depend upon piety, religion and morality, and as these cannot be generally diffused through a community but by the institution of public worship of God

and of public instructions in piety, religion and morality: Therefore, to promote their happiness and to secure the good order and preservation of their government, the people of this Commonwealth have a right to invest their legislature with power to authorize and require, and the legislature shall, from time to time, authorize and require, the several towns, parishes, precincts and other bodies-politic of religious societies, to make suitable provision, at their own expense, for the institution of the public worship of God and for the support and maintenance of public Protestant teachers of piety, religion and morality in all cases where such provision shall not be made voluntarily.’ Or as in sections 5 and 14 or Article 7, of the constitution of Mississippi, 1832: ‘No person who denies the being of a God, or a future state of rewards and punishments, shall hold any office in the civil department of this State...Religion, morality and knowledge being necessary to good government, the preservation of liberty, and the happiness of mankind, schools and the means of education, shall forever be encouraged in this State.’ Or by Article 22 of the constitution of Delaware, 1776, which required all officers, besides an oath of allegiance, to make and subscribe the following declaration: ‘I, A.B., do profess faith in God the Father, and in Jesus Christ His only Son, and in the Holy Ghost, one God, blessed forevermore; and I do acknowledge the Holy Scriptures of the Old and New Testament to be given by divine inspiration.’

Even the Constitution of the United States, which is supposed to have little touch upon the private life of the individual, contains in the First Amendment a declaration common to the constitution of all the States, as follows: ‘Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof,’ etc. And also provides in Article 1, section 7, (a provision common to many constitutions,) that the executive shall have ten days (Sundays excepted) within which to determine whether he will approve or veto a bill.



The Ten Commandments between “The Majesty of the Law” (to the left) and “The Power of Government” (to the right) above the Bench – Inner Courtroom of the U.S. Supreme Court. Sculptor: Adolph A. Weinman. Photograph: John W. Wrigley © 1990.

There is no dissonance in these declarations. There is a universal language pervading them all, having one meaning; they affirm and reaffirm that this is a religious nation. These are not individual sayings, declarations of private persons: they are organic utterances; they speak the voice of the entire people. While because of a general recognition of this truth the question has seldom been presented to the courts, yet we find that in *Updegraph v. The Commonwealth*, 11 S. & R. 394, 400, it was decided that, ‘Christianity, general Christianity, is, and always has been, part of the common law of Pennsylvania;...not Christianity with an established church, and tithes, and spiritual courts; but Christianity with liberty of conscience to all men.’ And in *The People v. Ruggles*, 8 Johns, 290, 294, 295, Chancellor Kent, the great commentator on American law, speaking as Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of New York, said: ‘The people of this State, in common with the people of this country, profess the general doctrines of



The Oscar S. Straus Memorial, Washington, D.C. A damsel leans upon a tablet of the Ten Commandments depicted in Roman numerals, as above the Bench, U.S. Supreme Court. Her hands are clasped in prayer. Sculptor: Adolph A. Weinman. Photograph: © Christian Heritage Ministries.

Christianity as the rule of their faith and practice; and to scandalize the author of these doctrines is not only, in a religious point of view, extremely impious, but, even in respect to the obligations due to society, is a gross violation of decency and good order...

The free, equal and undisturbed enjoyment of religious opinion, whatever it may be, and free and decent discussions on any religious subject, is granted and secured; but to revile, with malicious and blasphemous contempt, the religion professed by almost the whole community, is an abuse of that right. Nor are we bound, by any expressions in the Constitution as some have strangely supposed, either not to punish at all, or to punish indiscriminately, the like attacks upon the religion of Mahomet or of the Grand Lama; and for this plain reason, that the case assumes that we are a Christian people, the morality of the country is deeply ingrafted upon Christianity, and not upon the doctrines or worship of those impostors. And in the famous case of *Vidal v. Girard's Executors*, 2 How. 127, 198, this court, while sustaining the will of Mr. Girard, with its provision for



U.S. Supreme Court East Façade. The bas-relief pediment sculpture by Herman McNeil portrays Moses in the center, on the seat of the Law with the Ten Commandments in each hand. Lawgivers of the past stand behind him on either side. The two-foot-tall lettering beneath reads: "Justice the Guardian of Liberty," meaning – Justice based upon the Ten Commandments, centrally portrayed, guards America's Liberty. Photograph: John W. Wrigley © 1990.

the creation of a college into which no minister should be permitted to enter, observed: 'It is also said, and truly, that the Christian religion is part of the common law of Pennsylvania.'

If we pass beyond these matters to a view of American life as expressed by its laws, its business, its customs and its society, we find everywhere a clear recognition of the same truth. Among other matters note the following: The form of oath universally prevailing, concluding with an appeal to the Almighty; the custom of opening sessions of all deliberative bodies and most conventions with prayer; the prefatory words of all wills, 'In the name of God, amen;' the laws respecting the observance of the Sabbath, with the general cessation of all secular business, and the closing of courts, legislatures, and other similar public assemblies on that day; the churches and church organizations which abound in every city, town and hamlet; the



Historic marker designating George Mason's Pew. Pohick Episcopal Church, Lorton, Virginia. Photograph: © Christian Heritage Ministries.

multitude of charitable organizations existing everywhere under Christian auspices; the gigantic missionary associations, with general support, and aiming to establish Christian missions in every quarter of the globe.

These, and many other matters which might be noticed, add a volume of unofficial declarations to the mass of organic utterances that this is a Christian nation. In the face of all these, shall it be believed that a Congress of the United States intended to make it a misdemeanor for a church of this country to contract for the services of a Christian minister residing in another nation?"

(Source: United States Supreme Court Library.)

Editor's Note:

As the Executive head of this nation, Barak Hussein Obama's April 6, 2009 sweeping statement to the world, that "This is not a Christian nation," displays illiteracy in documents of American history, and her laws, as demonstrated by the above *Unanimous Opinion* of the U.S. Supreme Court.


(Excerpted from, *The Rewriting of America's History* Updated, by Catherine Millard © 1991, 2011, 2022.)

George Washington's hand-calligraphied prayers are entitled *The Daily Sacrifice*. They constitute a morning and an evening prayer for each day of the week. Following is his *Sunday Morning Prayer*:

THE DAILY SACRIFICE

Sunday Morning

ALMIGHTY GOD, and most merciful Father, who didst command the children of Israel to offer a daily sacrifice to thee, that thereby they might glorify and praise thee for thy protection both night and day; receive, O Lord, my morning sacrifice which I now offer up to thee; I yield thee humble and hearty thanks that thou hast preserved me from the dangers of the night past, and brought me to the light of this day, and the comforts thereof, a day which is consecrated to thine own service and for thine own honour. Let my heart, therefore, gracious God, be so affected with the glory and majesty of it, that I may not do mine own works, but wait on thee, and discharge those weighty duties thou requirest of me; and since thou art a God of pure eyes, and wilt be sanctified in all who draw near unto thee, who dost not regard the sacrifice of fools, nor hear sinners who tread in thy courts, pardon, I beseech thee my sins, remove them from thy presence as far as the east is from the west, and accept of me for the merits of thy son Jesus Christ, that when I come into thy temple, and compass thine altar, my prayer may come before thee as incense, and as I desire thou wouldst hear me calling upon thee in my prayers, so give me grace to hear thee calling on me in thy word, that it may be wisdom, righteousness, reconciliation & peace to the saving of my soul in the day of the Lord Jesus. Grant that I may hear it with reverence, receive it with meekness, mingle it with faith, and that it may accomplish in me, gracious God, the good work for which thou hast sent it. Bless my family, kindred, friends and country, be our God & guide this day and forever for his sake, who lay down in the grave and arose again for us, Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.



The Washington Memorial Chapel Prie Dieu, upon which is inscribed these historic words – “George Washington – June 1, 1774. Kept the day of Fasting, Humiliation and Prayer appointed by the House of Burgesses in Virginia and made this entry in his diary- ‘June 1, went to Church and fasted all day.’” Photograph by John W. Wrigley, © 1995.